

# International consensus statement on an update of the classification criteria for definite antiphospholipid syndrome (APS)

S. MIYAKIS,\* M. D. LOCKSHIN,† T. ATSUMI,‡ D. W. BRANCH,§ R. L. BREY,¶ R. CERVERA,\*\* R. H. W. M. DERKSEN,†† P. G. DE GROOT,†† T. KOIKE,‡ P. L. MERONI,‡‡ G. REBER,§§ Y. SHOENFELD,¶¶ A. TINCANI,\*\*\* P. G. VLACHOYIANNOPOULOS††† and S. A. KRILIS\*

\*St George Hospital, University of New South Wales, Sydney, Australia; †Hospital for Special Surgery, Cornell Medical Center, New York, NY, USA; ‡Hokkaido University, Sapporo, Japan; §University of Utah Health Sciences Center, Salt Lake City, UT; ¶University of Texas Health Science Center, San Antonio, TX, USA; \*\*Hospital Clinic, Barcelona, Spain; ††University Medical Center, Utrecht, The Netherlands; ‡‡Istituto Auxologico Italiano, University of Milan, Milan, Italy; §§University Hospital, Geneva, Switzerland; ¶¶Sheba Medical Center, Tel-Hashomer and Tel Aviv University, Israel; \*\*\*Spedali Civili, University of Brescia, Italy; and †††Department of Pathophysiology, University of Athens, Greece

**To cite this article:** Miyakis S, Lockshin MD, Atsumi T, Branch DW, Brey RL, Cervera R, Derksen RHW, de Groot PG, Koike T, Meroni PL, Reber G, Shoenfeld Y, Tincani A, Vlachoyiannopoulos PG, Krilis SA. International consensus statement on an update of the classification criteria for definite antiphospholipid syndrome (APS). *J Thromb Haemost* 2006; 4: 295–306.

**Summary.** New clinical, laboratory and experimental insights, since the 1999 publication of the Sapporo preliminary classification criteria for antiphospholipid syndrome (APS), had been addressed at a workshop in Sydney, Australia, before the Eleventh International Congress on antiphospholipid antibodies. In this document, we appraise the existing evidence on clinical and laboratory features of APS addressed during the forum. Based on this, we propose amendments to the Sapporo criteria. We also provide definitions on features of APS that were not included in the updated criteria.

**Keywords:** anticardiolipin, antiphospholipid syndrome,  $\beta_2$  glycoprotein-I, classification criteria, lupus anticoagulant, thrombosis.

## Introduction

Since the formulation of the international preliminary classification (Sapporo) criteria for antiphospholipid syndrome (APS) [1], a significant body of work in basic research and studies on laboratory and clinical manifestations of APS has appeared. A preconference workshop, preceding the Eleventh International Congress on antiphospholipid antibodies (aPL), considered revisions to the international classification criteria

for APS. Members of the workshop panel included all of the authors and the individuals listed in the Appendix.

Some of the authors presented the current evidence in their area of expertise (see Addendum) providing relevant literature on predictors of outcome, risk factors, associations between clinical and laboratory features and accuracy of tests. The evidence was also reviewed and graded (according to criteria listed in Table 1) by three members of the committee (SM, MDL, SAK) not involved in the presentation of specific topics. An open discussion followed, to reach consensus. Where data were limited or incongruent, expert opinion supplements the recommendations, as indicated.

## Update of the classification criteria

Table 2 contains the revised classification criteria for APS. The Sapporo classification divided the APS criteria into clinical and laboratory; this categorization was maintained in the current revision.

Studies validating the Sapporo criteria [2,3] are few. Tested against patients with systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) and lupus-like disease (LLD), the criteria have high sensitivity and specificity [2], but the high frequency of aPL in older populations and of thromboembolic disease in hospitalized patients suggests that the Sapporo criteria would perform poorly in these populations. The association of aging and of common risk factors for cardiovascular disease with thrombosis may cause classification bias (Evidence Level I) [4]. No published data provides a valid estimation of an age boundary for diagnosing APS. Standard definitions of premature cardiovascular disease [5] and conditions conferring risk for thrombosis (listed in Table 2) [6,7] should be taken into account (Evidence Level I). Thrombosis may be more frequent when multiple risk factors coexist. Strict exclusion criteria

Correspondence: Steven A. Krilis, Department of Immunology, Allergy and Infectious Disease, St George Hospital, 2 South St, Kogarah, NSW 2217, Australia.

Tel.: +612 93502955; fax: +61293503981; e-mail: s.krilis@unsw.edu.au

Received 30 August 2005, accepted 2 November 2005

**Table 1** Classification of evidence used in this article for evaluating studies regarding the association of risk factors with clinical conditions and/or disease outcome\*

Evidence Level	Description
Class I	Prospective study in a broad spectrum of the representative population
Class II	or Meta-analysis of randomized-controlled trials
	Prospective study in a narrow spectrum of the representative population
	or Well-designed cohort or case-control analytic study
Class III	or Retrospective study in a broad spectrum of the representative population
	Retrospective study in a narrow spectrum of the representative population
Class IV	Study design where predictor is not applied in a blinded fashion
	or Descriptive case series
	or Expert opinion

\*Throughout this article wherever studies of different Evidence Levels are quoted for the same issue, only the higher Evidence Level is provided.

therefore seem impractical. The committee concurs that additional factors contributing to thrombosis should be assessed and that APS patients should be stratified according to: (a) the presence or (b) absence of other – inherited or acquired – contributing causes of thrombosis (Table 2).

Evidence arising from clinical experience and the few relevant publications suggests that the ‘fetal death’ (Type 2a) Sapporo pregnancy morbidity criterion is the most specific, while the ‘recurrent early abortion’ (Type 2c) criterion may be the most sensitive (Level of evidence IV). The specificity of recurrent early abortion is uncertain because of the difficulty in excluding other known or suspected causes. The pre-eclampsia/placental insufficiency (Type 2b) Sapporo criterion may be relatively insensitive or non-specific. To enhance specificity, this criterion included only cases requiring delivery before 34 weeks’ gestation. It appears that some investigators have incorrectly interpreted this criterion to include any preterm birth because of pre-eclampsia or placental insufficiency. In (small) populations unselected [8] or at risk for recurrent pre-eclampsia [9], aPL are not associated with pre-eclampsia or placental insufficiency (Evidence Level II). We recognize that there is no widely accepted definition for placental insufficiency, that timing of delivery is subject to physician judgment, and that there are no specific histopathologic placental abnormalities characteristic of either APS or ‘severe’ placental insufficiency (Evidence Level III) [10]. Well-designed, prospective studies to determine the contribution of APS to the overall problem of preterm birth from severe pre-eclampsia or placental insufficiency are not available. The committee finds no advantage to removing the pre-eclampsia/placental insufficiency criterion; there is a need for optimizing its performance instead. We recommend adherence to strict definitions of eclampsia and severe pre-eclampsia [11,12], we

provide the commonly used clinical definitions for placental insufficiency (Table 2), and we suggest that the criterion for APS classification be any of these conditions associated with the decision of a qualified clinician to deliver a morphologically normal fetus prior to 34 weeks’ gestation.

Both lupus anticoagulant (LA) and anticardiolipin (aCL) immunoglobulin isotype G (IgG) and M (IgM) are maintained as laboratory APS criteria, and IgG and IgM anti- $\beta_2$  glycoprotein-I (anti- $\beta_2$ GPI) assays are added in the revised criteria (Table 2).

Medium and high titers of IgG and IgM aCL antibodies associate with clinical manifestations of APS, and were selected as criteria in Sapporo. However, the threshold used to distinguish moderate-high levels from low levels has no standard [13], and definition of the level that best corresponds to the risk of clinical manifestations is difficult [14]. Based on the best available evidence (Evidence Level II) [15–19], and until an international consensus is reached, the committee introduces a clear statement on threshold for positive: > 40 GPL or MPL units, or > 99th percentile (Table 2).

The revised criteria introduce a concept of subclassification of APS patients into four different categories of aPL assay positivity, specified in Table 2. Certain issues of specificity and predictive value of laboratory assays remain unresolved, whereas evidence suggests that multiple aPL positivity is associated with a more severe course of the disease, increasing significantly the rate of thrombosis (Evidence Level II) [20–23]. Investigators encouraged to subclassify patients with positive laboratory assays that fulfil the criteria for APS in clinical studies, according to the guidelines in Table 2.

Antiphospholipid syndrome requires the combination of at least one clinical and one laboratory criterion. A remote test avoids false results from interference with the event; however, in extreme cases, a positive test separated many years from a clinical manifestation also risks misclassification, as a causative relationship between event and test would then be in doubt. The Sapporo statement encouraged investigators to provide applicable information, but relevant existing data are rather poor. The stability of the laboratory testing over time is reassuring [24], yet spontaneous variation of aPL in individual patients occurs in up to a quarter of cases (Evidence Level II). Whether disease activity and treatment contribute to assay variability is unknown [25–27]. The committee suggests that researchers should not classify APS if more than 5 years separate the clinical event and the positive laboratory test, and that an allowance of at least 12 weeks between symptom and test will assist assessment of the relationship between clinical manifestations and aPL (Table 2). These time limits are valid independently of which feature of APS (clinical or laboratory) occurs first.

Persistent positivity of laboratory tests is important; the Sapporo criteria suggested an interval of at least 6 weeks between the two positive tests. In fact, there are no data to validate this interval. There are concerns that transient presence of epiphenomenal aPL – not infrequent in clinical practice – could risk misclassification (Evidence Level II) [28]. This committee proposes that increasing the interval to 12 weeks is

**Table 2** Revised classification criteria for the antiphospholipid syndrome

Antiphospholipid antibody syndrome (APS) is present if at least one of the clinical criteria and one of the laboratory criteria that follow are met\*

Clinical criteria

1. Vascular thrombosis<sup>†</sup>

One or more clinical episodes<sup>‡</sup> of arterial, venous, or small vessel thrombosis<sup>§</sup>, in any tissue or organ. Thrombosis must be confirmed by objective validated criteria (i.e. unequivocal findings of appropriate imaging studies or histopathology). For histopathologic confirmation, thrombosis should be present without significant evidence of inflammation in the vessel wall.

2. Pregnancy morbidity

(a) One or more unexplained deaths of a morphologically normal fetus at or beyond the 10th week of gestation, with normal fetal morphology documented by ultrasound or by direct examination of the fetus, or

(b) One or more premature births of a morphologically normal neonate before the 34th week of gestation because of: (i) eclampsia or severe pre-eclampsia defined according to standard definitions [11], or (ii) recognized features of placental insufficiency<sup>¶</sup>, or

(c) Three or more unexplained consecutive spontaneous abortions before the 10th week of gestation, with maternal anatomic or hormonal abnormalities and paternal and maternal chromosomal causes excluded.

In studies of populations of patients who have more than one type of pregnancy morbidity, investigators are strongly encouraged to stratify groups of subjects according to a, b, or c above.

Laboratory criteria\*\*

1. Lupus anticoagulant (LA) present in plasma, on two or more occasions at least 12 weeks apart, detected according to the guidelines of the International Society on Thrombosis and Haemostasis (Scientific Subcommittee on LAs/phospholipid-dependent antibodies) [82,83].

2. Anticardiolipin (aCL) antibody of IgG and/or IgM isotype in serum or plasma, present in medium or high titer (i.e. > 40 GPL or MPL, or > the 99th percentile), on two or more occasions, at least 12 weeks apart, measured by a standardized ELISA [100,129,130].

3. Anti- $\beta_2$  glycoprotein-I antibody of IgG and/or IgM isotype in serum or plasma (in titer > the 99th percentile), present on two or more occasions, at least 12 weeks apart, measured by a standardized ELISA, according to recommended procedures [112].

\*Classification of APS should be avoided if less than 12 weeks or more than 5 years separate the positive aPL test and the clinical manifestation.

<sup>†</sup>Coexisting inherited or acquired factors for thrombosis are not reasons for excluding patients from APS trials. However, two subgroups of APS patients should be recognized, according to: (a) the presence, and (b) the absence of additional risk factors for thrombosis. Indicative (but not exhaustive) such cases include: age (> 55 in men, and > 65 in women), and the presence of any of the established risk factors for cardiovascular disease (hypertension, diabetes mellitus, elevated LDL or low HDL cholesterol, cigarette smoking, family history of premature cardiovascular disease, body mass index  $\geq 30$  kg m<sup>-2</sup>, microalbuminuria, estimated GFR < 60 mL min<sup>-1</sup>), inherited thrombophilias, oral contraceptives, nephrotic syndrome, malignancy, immobilization, and surgery. Thus, patients who fulfil criteria should be stratified according to contributing causes of thrombosis. <sup>‡</sup>A thrombotic episode in the past could be considered as a clinical criterion, provided that thrombosis is proved by appropriate diagnostic means and that no alternative diagnosis or cause of thrombosis is found. <sup>§</sup>Superficial venous thrombosis is not included in the clinical criteria. <sup>¶</sup>Generally accepted features of placental insufficiency include: (i) abnormal or non-reassuring fetal surveillance test(s), e.g. a non-reactive non-stress test, suggestive of fetal hypoxemia, (ii) abnormal Doppler flow velocimetry waveform analysis suggestive of fetal hypoxemia, e.g. absent end-diastolic flow in the umbilical artery, (iii) oligohydramnios, e.g. an amniotic fluid index of 5 cm or less, or (iv) a postnatal birth weight less than the 10th percentile for the gestational age. \*\*Investigators are strongly advised to classify APS patients in studies into one of the following categories: I, more than one laboratory criteria present (any combination); IIa, LA present alone; IIb, aCL antibody present alone; IIc, anti- $\beta_2$  glycoprotein-I antibody present alone.

unlikely to affect sensitivity (Table 2); coupled with the prior proposal of an analogous interval between clinical manifestation and assay performance, it provides greater reassurance that the aPL detected are relevant to a predisposition to APS. We emphasize that proposed time intervals are based on expert opinion. Studies validating these time frames are imperative.

The committee advises against using the term 'secondary' APS. We could not find differences in the clinical consequences of aPL among patients in these two categories (Evidence Level I) [13,29]. Most patients with so-called secondary APS have SLE. It is unknown if APS and SLE are two diseases coinciding in an individual, if underlying SLE offers a setting for the development of APS, or if APS and SLE represent two elements of the same process [30,31]. Some cases with 'secondary' APS are classified as LLD. The interface between SLE, LLD and APS merits further consideration. Rather than distinguishing between patients with 'primary' and 'secondary' APS, documenting the coexistence of SLE (or other disease) is more advantageous for classification.

Finally, the entity of the Catastrophic Antiphospholipid Syndrome was outside the agenda of this workshop; a relevant consensus statement has been released [32].

### Features associated with APS, but not included in the revised criteria

This panel also discussed clinical and laboratory features not included in the revised classification criteria for APS. These include: (i) heart valve disease, (ii) livedo reticularis (LR), (iii) thrombocytopenia, (iv) nephropathy, (v) neurological manifestations, (vi) IgA aCL, (vii) IgA anti- $\beta_2$ GPI, (viii) antiphosphatidylserine antibodies (aPS), (ix) antiphosphatidylethanolamine (aPE) antibodies, (x) antibodies against prothrombin alone (aPT-A), and (xi) antibodies to the phosphatidylserine-prothrombin (aPS/PT) complex. Some of the features above are undoubtedly frequent but not specific in patients with APS. The committee considered that adoption of these features as independent criteria for definite APS may decrease diagnostic specificity, even though their association with APS is recognized.

Another issue is how to classify (i) cases with aPL and non-criteria clinical manifestations of APS, and (ii) the infrequent cases that fulfil the clinical criteria, but test positive only for non-criteria aPL. Some members of the committee proposed the term 'probable APS'. This concept was not

**Table 3** Definition of aPL-associated cardiac valve disease

aPL-associated cardiac valve disease is:

Coexistence of aPL (Laboratory Criteria for APS) *along with* Echocardiographic detection of lesions *and/or*

Regurgitation\* *and/or* stenosis of mitral *and/or* aortic valve or any combination of the above.

Valve examination can be performed with TTE and/or with TEE

Defining valve lesions include:

Valve thickness > 3 mm,

Localized thickening involving the leaflet's proximal or middle portion,

Irregular nodules on the atrial face of the edge of the mitral valve, *and/or* the vascular face of the aortic valve.

The presence and severity of regurgitation and/or stenosis should be documented with Doppler echocardiography.

Interpretation should be carried out by two expert echocardiographers.

Both functional capacity and objective assessment of heart status should be reported according to the revised NYHA Criteria for Diagnosis of Heart Disease [131].

Confirmation of valve disease may also be provided by histopathological findings of Libman-Sacks endocarditis in patients with concomitant SLE [132].

In all the above cases, the presence or history of rheumatic fever and infective endocarditis must be excluded.

Patients who fulfill Clinical Criteria for APS are excluded from the definition above.

Researchers should also state if the patient meets the American College of Rheumatology (ACR) revised criteria for SLE [133,134].

\*Investigators are advised to consider moderate-severe mitral valve regurgitation as criterion for aPL-associated cardiac valve disease, as mild regurgitation is very common in the general population.

adopted, because the features listed above cannot be used as alternative criteria for APS. With these limitations in mind, we believe it would be reasonable to use these features, which were not selected for diagnosis of individual patients as 'probable APS', 'features associated with APS' or 'non-criteria features of APS'. For clinical studies, patients falling into any of these categories should be classified separately from those that fulfill the revised classification criteria for APS. This policy may help clarify unsettled issues (specificity, associations of aPL with clinical manifestations, and differences in outcome and impact of treatment) between those features and definite APS. Thus, this committee encourages the separate recognition of non-criteria features of APS, and proposes a terminology (Tables 3–6). The evidence that precludes adoption as criteria is summarized in the section on Specific issues.

## Specific issues

### Cardiac manifestations

Heart valve lesions (vegetations, valve thickening and dysfunction) are frequent in APS, independent of SLE [33], but data are contradictory because of differences in echocardiography technique and descriptions for findings, inconsistent associa-

tions with aPL, and population heterogeneity<sup>1</sup> (Evidence Level II) [36,37]; confounding factors associated with cardiac valve disease include age, hypertension and obesity (Evidence Level I) [38]. The committee proposes a minimal consensus regarding the valve dysfunction and provides relevant definitions of heart valve lesions in APS (Table 3), but recommends against adoption as criteria. Determination of aPL in patients coming to medical attention because of valve disease should be individualized rather than routine.

Coronary artery disease (CAD) fulfills the thrombosis criterion for APS; we recommend that patients be stratified according to thrombosis risk stratification guidelines (Table 2). The workshop advises against routine performance of aPL tests in patients with CAD unless the patient's young age and lack of identifiable risk factors suggest a rare etiology.

Few data exist concerning the incidence of ventricular dysfunction in APS (Evidence Level IV). The committee advises that the rare cases with biopsy-proven myocardial microthrombosis, or with intracardiac thrombi be recognized as meeting the thrombosis criterion for APS (Evidence Level IV). Detection of cardiac microthrombosis or intracardiac thrombi without apparent explanation warrants aPL testing.

### Neurological manifestations

Transient cerebral ischemia and stroke fall within the spectrum of thrombosis; thus, pertinent stratification recommendations apply. A consensus report on these manifestations has been published [39].

Antiphospholipid antibodies correlate with physical disability in the elderly [40] (Evidence Level II). In one small study of APS patients without SLE [41], long-term presence of LA is a risk factor for dementia (Evidence Level II). In SLE patients, persistent elevation of aPL is associated with cognitive dysfunction (Evidence Level I) [42,43]. Prospective studies deny an association between migraine and aPL (Evidence Level I) [44,45]. In patients with multiple sclerosis (MS), an association between aPL and clinical course cannot be supported (Evidence Level I) [46]. Patients with concomitant MS and SLE may be an exception, but studies are contradictory (Evidence Level II) [47,48]. Transverse myelopathy (TM) is a rare entity within APS [33]. Limited data suggest that in the 1% of SLE patients who manifest TM, the latter is associated with aPL (Evidence Level IV) [49]. Contradictory data exist on the relationship between aPL and seizures in SLE (Evidence Level I) [50,51] and in epilepsy patients (Evidence Level II) [52,53]. In unselected APS patients, epilepsy has been retrospectively associated with SLE, CNS ischemic events, thrombocytopenia, and LR (Evidence Level II) [54]. It is uncertain whether aPL can influence the clinical course of epilepsy, as relevant prospective data are missing. This committee considers that

<sup>1</sup>For instance, although mitral valve thickness > 3 mm, measured with TEE, correlated significantly with aCL > 40 GPL in one study [34], the average mitral valve thickness in the control population of another study was 3.2 mm with Doppler echocardiography [35].

**Table 4** Definition of aPL-associated livedo reticularis (LR)

aPL-associated LR is the coexistence of aPL (Laboratory Criteria for APS) and LR.

Livedo reticularis is the persistent, not reversible with rewarming, violaceous, red or blue, reticular or mottled, pattern of the skin of trunk, arms or legs. It may consist of regular unbroken circles (regular LR) or irregular-broken circles (livedo racemosa). The width of the branching pattern can be  $\geq 10$  mm (large LR) or  $< 10$  mm (fine LR). Four variants may be recognized: fine livedo racemosa, large livedo racemosa, fine regular LR, and large regular LR.

Pathologic changes confirmative, but not required, for LR classification and diagnosis include partial or complete occlusion of the lumen of small- to medium-sized arteries and/or arterioles at the dermis-subcutis border with no evidence of perivascular inflammatory infiltrate and negative direct immunofluorescence examination [62].

Patients who fulfill Clinical Criteria for APS are excluded from the definition above.

**Table 5** Definition of aPL-associated nephropathy (APLN)

aPL-associated nephropathy [66,68] is the coexistence of aPL (Laboratory Criteria for APS) along with the histopathologic detection of:

Thrombotic microangiopathy involving both arterioles and glomerular capillaries *and/or*

One or more of:

Fibrous intimal hyperplasia involving organized thrombi with or without recanalization

Fibrous and/or fibrocellular occlusions of arteries and arterioles

Focal cortical atrophy

Tubular thyroidization (large zones of atrophic tubules containing eosinophilic casts)

Vasculitis, thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura, hemolytic uremic syndrome, malignant hypertension, and other reasons for chronic renal ischemia are exclusions.

Patients who fulfill Clinical Criteria for APS are excluded from the definition above

If SLE is also present, the above lesions should be distinguished from those associated with lupus nephropathy.

**Table 6** Definition of aPL-associated thrombocytopenia

aPL-associated thrombocytopenia is the coexistence of aPL (Laboratory Criteria for APS) *along with* the following:

Thrombocytopenia ( $< 100 \times 10^9 \text{ L}^{-1}$ ), confirmed at least twice 12 weeks apart.

Exclusion of patients with thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura, disseminated intravascular coagulation, pseudo-thrombocytopenia, and heparin-induced thrombocytopenia [135,136].

Thrombocytopenia is further characterized as moderate (platelet count  $50\text{--}100 \times 10^9 \text{ L}^{-1}$ ) or severe ( $< 50 \times 10^9 \text{ L}^{-1}$ ).

Subclassification of patients according to the presence or absence of SLE is advantageous.

Patients who fulfill Clinical Criteria for APS are excluded from the definition above.

there is insufficient evidence to include cognitive dysfunction, headache or migraine, MS, TM, and epilepsy in the revised APS classification criteria; however, the data concerning cognitive dysfunction are suggestive and warrant further study.

#### Skin manifestations

Livedo reticularis is more prevalent among APS patients with SLE, and in females (Evidence Level II) [33,55]. Studies of

associations with specific aCL isotypes or LA are contradictory (Evidence Level II) [56–60]. In unselected APS patients, LR has been retrospectively correlated with aCL and arterial thrombosis, but not with anti- $\beta_2$ GPI or LA, venous thrombosis, or pregnancy morbidity (Evidence Level II) [61]. There are no prospective studies on the ability of LR to predict thrombosis, with the exception of the rare Sneddon's syndrome (Evidence Level II) [62]. The LR lesions can lead to ischemia and tissue infarction, called livedo vasculitis (purpuric macules, cutaneous nodules, and/or painful ulcerations) [63]; stating its presence is advisable. Inclusion of LR as an independent clinical criterion for APS would not serve to classify homogeneous patient groups, and definition is required (Table 4). The committee advises subclassification of LR variants for clinical studies. Although histologic findings sometimes may be helpful in most LR cases, there are no pathognomonic findings [62]; performing a biopsy is not routinely indicated or encouraged by this committee.

Other skin manifestations of APS include skin ulcerations, pseudo-vasculitic lesions, digital gangrene, superficial phlebitis, malignant atrophic papulosis-like lesions, subungual splinter hemorrhages [63], and anetoderma (a circumscribed area of loss of dermal elastic tissue) (Evidence Level IV) [64]. They are rare, and none merits inclusion as a criterion.

#### Renal manifestations

Antiphospholipid antibodies correlate with lesions of renal small-artery vasculopathy and chronic renal ischemia (Evidence Level III) [65–68]. The committee recommends the term 'aPL-associated nephropathy' (APLN) to describe this entity (Table 5). Renal lesions are identical in SLE-APS and non-SLE-APS patients, and have been associated with extra-renal vascular thrombosis and pregnancy complications in SLE patients (Evidence Level II) [65,66,69,70]. They are independent of lupus nephritis and do not correlate with the rate of loss of renal function or end-stage renal disease [65,66]. Apart from thrombotic microangiopathy, which represents an acute event, other lesions of APLN reflect chronic vascular damage, are more frequent [66,68], and may be non-specific. In almost all reported cases, the diagnosis of APLN derived from multiple findings. Histologic criteria for APLN have not been validated. Patients with histologically proven APLN satisfy the thrombosis criterion for APS, provided that other conditions resulting in similar renal lesions are excluded. This committee does not suggest routine performance of renal biopsy in APS; this decision should be guided by conventional clinical indications.

#### Thrombocytopenia

Antiphospholipid antibodies are frequently found in patients initially diagnosed with idiopathic thrombocytopenic purpura (ITP), prospectively associated with thrombosis (Evidence Level I) [71,72]. This may suggest that aPL confers a high risk of thrombosis in patients with ITP, or that ITP is a first

symptom of APS, as it may be of SLE. Thrombocytopenia is more common in patients with APS and SLE than in patients with APS alone (Evidence Level II) [33]. Antibodies directed against platelet glycoproteins are associated with thrombocytopenia (but not with thrombosis) in patients with aPL [73], and also in patients with APS, comparable with ITP patients [74] (Evidence Level III). The committee consented that thrombocytopenia occurring in patients with persistent aPL, in the absence of clinical manifestations of APS, should be considered to be different from ITP: such patients have an increased thrombotic risk and require closer follow-up. On the other hand, inclusion of thrombocytopenia as an independent clinical criterion for APS would likely add little to sensitivity with a potential cost in specificity; a clear distinction from thrombocytopenia because of SLE and ITP are required, and relevant data from prospective studies are inadequate. We propose the term 'aPL-associated thrombocytopenia' (Table 6) to stratify patients for clinical studies. We propose a platelet count  $< 100 \times 10^9 \text{ L}^{-1}$  as the upper cut-off limit for thrombocytopenia in APS (Evidence Level II) [33]. This relatively stringent limit (vs.  $150 \times 10^9 \text{ L}^{-1}$ ) could serve the criteria target of maximum specificity, while including the severe and moderate cases.

### Lupus anticoagulant

Lupus anticoagulant better correlates with thrombosis (Evidence Level I) [75], pregnancy morbidity (Evidence Level II) [76], and thrombosis in SLE patients (Evidence Level I) [77] than does aCL. Inter-laboratory agreement is relatively poor for the large number of LA assays on the market [78,79]. The present committee recommends that laboratories performing LA comply with existing rules to improve inter-laboratory concordance (Evidence Level II) [78,80–83].

No definite recommendation can be given on the assays of choice for LA testing. Both activated partial thromboplastin time (APTT)-based assays and dilute Russell's viper venom time (dRVVT) are suitable for LA (Evidence Level II) [79,80], provided that the APTT used for LA testing is LA sensitive. One positive test suffices for LA positivity; as no single test is 100% sensitive for LA, it is advised to use two or more tests with different assay principles before the presence of LA is excluded.

Unless one uses an LA test system that includes a heparin neutralizer (most of the commercial dRVVT-assays), the thrombin time should always be measured to exclude unforeseen presence of unfractionated heparin. If the patient is on oral anticoagulants, measurement of LA is better postponed (Evidence Level III) [84], or patient samples be diluted 1 : 2 with normal plasma before the test is performed, provided that international normalized ratio (INR) is  $< 3.5$ . When INR is  $> 3.5$ , the LA testing is unworkable (Evidence Level IV). Several phospholipids (rabbit brain extract, hexagonal phase phospholipids, defined phospholipid vesicles, washed-activated platelets, frozen-thawed platelets and lyophilized platelet extracts) have been used successfully in LA confirmation assays; no evidence exists for superiority of any particular one.

Little objective information and no relevant guidelines exist to define a positive-screening test [82]. The use of fixed-time cut-off limits in different laboratories with different instruments was discouraged by this panel. For dRVTT and other LA assays, better precision can be achieved when individual laboratories determine their own cut-off levels for positive results (Evidence Level II) [78,80,85]. Use of normalization ratios (test sample : control sample) is the best way to compensate for inter- and intra-assay variation. Clotting time ratios (test/control) of  $> 1.1$  for the dRVTT and  $> 1.2$  for KCT are applied in many laboratories, and indicate LA according to earlier guidelines [86,87]. Ratios have high specificity but may have low sensitivity (Evidence Level II) [80]. An appropriate way to establish cut-off levels is to measure LA in 40 healthy controls and determine the geometric mean + 2SD.

The interpretation of the confirmation procedure – the so-called lupus ratio (mathematically identical with the screen/confirm ratio), or the confirm/normal ratio – that offers the highest risk for thromboembolic complications remains uncertain. Integration of screening and confirmation into a single assay makes LA testing less time consuming, and may increase diagnostic accuracy and inter-laboratory agreement (Evidence Level III) [88]. Nevertheless, the result of the LA test that best correlates with clinical events of APS cannot be concluded. Until further evidence is provided, the committee advises considering positive every sample outside the normal range (Evidence Level IV).

The use of widely available pooled patient plasmas for positive controls (instead of the traditional plasma samples of local LA-positive patients) is currently advised [83]. Plasma spiked with monoclonal antibodies will soon be available; its use and standardization through participation in multicenter studies (e.g. ECAT and Scientific and Standardization Committee [SSC]) are encouraged.

Two new methods enable discrimination between  $\beta_2$ GPI and prothrombin antibodies causing LA. The first uses cardiolipin vesicles and can only be used in an APTT-based assay [89]. The second is based on changes in the final calcium concentration in the assay, but does not work when a mixture of both anti- $\beta_2$ GPI and antiprothrombin antibodies is present [90]. In patients with autoimmune diseases,  $\beta_2$ GPI-dependent LA strongly correlates with a history of thrombosis, in contrast to the  $\beta_2$ GPI-independent assay (Level of Evidence II) [91]. An international multicenter trial to confirm this assertion will begin shortly.

### Anticardiolipin assay

Interlaboratory agreement on aCL measurement remains marginal with both home-based and commercial assays (Evidence Level I) [14,92,93]. Discrepancies are mainly because of cut-off, calibration, and other methodological issues. Expression of aCL assays in ranges of positivity achieves better interlaboratory and inter-run agreement than do quantitative readouts [94]. We note that IgM aCL tends to give false-positive results, particularly in the low-positive

range, especially in the presence of rheumatoid factor or cryoglobulins [95,96].

The ISTH-SSC recommended in 2002 that the aCL test should be replaced by anti- $\beta_2$ GPI and the LA tests [97]. However, the best available evidence indicates that anti- $\beta_2$ GPI cannot yet be considered a substitute for aCL (Evidence Level II) [21,22,98]; this committee recommends that aCL continue to be a laboratory criterion for APS.

To optimize standardization, new reference samples (monoclonal antibodies, named HCAL and EY2C9) [99] will be distributed from the Center for Disease Control and Prevention to investigators free of charge. They will have to be validated against existing calibrators, and their specificity, avidity and stability over time should be monitored. Because these preparations cannot mirror the heterogeneity present in patient samples [100], firm recommendations cannot be given at this time.

### IgA aCL

The IgA aCL are usually detected together with either IgG and/or IgM isotypes in patients with APS (Evidence Level II) [101–103], and agreement among patients grouped according to aCL titers for IgA seems lower than those for the other isotypes [104]. Specificity and standardization considerations for the other aCL isotypes apply also to the IgA aCL assay. In patients with collagen disease, IgA aCL associates with thrombocytopenia, skin ulcers and vasculitis, indicating a patient subgroup at risk for specific clinical manifestations (Evidence Level III) [105], and it is highly prevalent in African-American SLE patients [106]. Hence, this isotype appears to identify patient subgroups rather than adding diagnostic power. The committee consents that IgA aCL cannot be considered as a laboratory criterion for APS.

### Anti- $\beta_2$ GPI

By majority<sup>2</sup>, the committee agreed that IgG and IgM anti- $\beta_2$ GPI should be included as part of the modified Sapporo criteria. Anti- $\beta_2$ GPI antibodies are an independent risk factor for thrombosis (Evidence Level II) [107,108] and pregnancy complications (Evidence Level I) [109,110], though some studies deny these associations mainly because of methodological differences and lack of standardization [107,108]. Inter-laboratory variation of anti- $\beta_2$ GPI is better than that found with the aCL assay for both home-made [111] and commercial kits [112] (Evidence Level II). The anti- $\beta_2$ GPI assay shows higher specificity than aCL for APS diagnosis (Evidence Level II) [21,22,113–115]. In 3–10% of APS patients, anti- $\beta_2$ GPI may be the only test positive (Evidence Level I) [23,98,116]. The association of anti- $\beta_2$ GPI with pre-eclampsia and/or eclampsia in unselected pregnant women who tested negative for aCL

(Evidence Level I) [109] implies that the inclusion of anti- $\beta_2$ GPI may also help clarify this pregnancy morbidity.

Methodology and standardization limitations expressed for aCL also apply for anti- $\beta_2$ GPI [111,112]. Laboratories measuring anti- $\beta_2$ GPI are encouraged to standardize the types of plates; purity, concentration and source of  $\beta_2$ GPI; and calibrators and units of measurement [18,112]. Validation of monoclonal anti- $\beta_2$ GPI [99] antibodies and comparison with the existing standards is encouraged. High titers of anti- $\beta_2$ GPI antibodies are associated with high risk of thrombosis, but it is difficult to define boundaries for medium and high titers at this stage. Until an international consensus is reached, this committee proposes a threshold for positive anti- $\beta_2$ GPI antibodies >99th percentile of controls. The possible interference of cryoglobulins and rheumatoid factors should be considered in the interpretation of IgM anti- $\beta_2$ GPI. Outside the context of clinical studies, testing for anti- $\beta_2$ GPI can be helpful for APS diagnosis, particularly when aCL and LA are negative and APS is strongly suspected.

### IgA anti- $\beta_2$ GPI and other ELISAs for aPL detection

Data are inadequate for establishing IgA anti- $\beta_2$ GPI as an independent risk factor for APS in the absence of other anti- $\beta_2$ GPI isotypes (Evidence Level III) [117]. IgA anti- $\beta_2$ GPI are the most frequently detected antibodies in patients in specific ethnic groups (Evidence Level II) [118,119]. A significant proportion of IgA anti- $\beta_2$ GPI-positive tests has no apparent association with any clinical manifestation of APS (Evidence Level IV). Although a few Evidence Level II studies report association of aPE antibodies with thrombosis and fetal loss [120,121], experience with these antibodies is inadequate. Uniform guidelines how to perform the test, units of measurement and control materials do not exist. The committee concludes that it is premature to recommend that tests for an aPL other than IgG and IgM anti- $\beta_2$ GPI be included in the revised-Sapporo criteria.

### Antiprothrombin antibodies

Antiprothrombin antibodies detected by ELISA are a heterogeneous population including antibodies against prothrombin alone (aPT-A) and antibodies to the phosphatidylserine-prothrombin complex (aPS/PT). Data on the clinical associations of aPT-A are contradictory, and they imply low specificity of these antibodies for APS diagnosis (Evidence Level II) [122–127]. A systematic review on antiprothrombin antibodies and risk of thrombosis in APS failed to reveal an association, irrespective of isotype, site and type of event, or presence of SLE [107]. Both the sensitivity and specificity of aPS/PT are higher than those for aPT-A, whereas 95% of patients with aPS/PT are also LA positive, suggesting that aPS/PT can also serve as a confirmatory assay for LA (Evidence Level II); these results, however, only come from one study [128], and concerns regarding aPS/PT arise from multivalent antibody binding; the possibility of measuring antibodies against non-complexed

<sup>2</sup>Consensus was not reached regarding this issue. Two members of the committee considered that existing evidence does not justify inclusion of anti- $\beta_2$ GPI as a criterion.

phospholipids present in the sample needs to be excluded. Prospective studies examining the association of aPT-A or aPS/PT with APS clinical features are still missing. This committee considers that the inclusion of antiprothrombin antibodies in the classification criteria for APS is premature.

### Acknowledgements

This work was supported by an unrestricted grant from the American Autoimmune Related Diseases Association Inc. (AARDA).

### Conflict of interest statement

No conflicts of interest are declared for this document.

### Addendum

Contribution of each author to this manuscript was as follows: SM: grading of the evidence, synthesis, and writing of the manuscript

MDL: grading of the evidence, manuscript editing

SAK: grading of the evidence, manuscript editing, and authors coordination

The following authors contributed to parts of the manuscript as listed:

TA: thrombocytopenia, antiprothrombin antibodies

DWB: obstetric manifestations

RLB: neurological manifestations

RC: cardiac manifestations

RHWMD: lupus anticoagulant

PGdG: lupus anticoagulant

TK: thrombocytopenia, antiprothrombin antibodies

PLM: renal manifestations

GR: anticardiolipin, anti- $\beta_2$ GPI

YS: skin manifestations

AT: anticardiolipin, anti- $\beta_2$ GPI

PGV: renal manifestations.

### Appendix: Members Of The Workshop Panel

In addition to the authors, the workshop panel comprised the following individuals:

Marie-Claire Boffa, MD (Hôpital de la Pitié, Paris, France), Benjamin Brenner, MD (Rambam Medical Center, Haifa, Israel), Joab Chapman, MD (Sheba Medical Center, Tel-Hashomer, Israel), Philippe de Moerloose, MD (University Hospital, Geneva, Switzerland), Doruk Erkan, MD (Hospital for Special Surgery, Cornell Medical Center, New York, NY), Thomas Exner, PhD (St. Vincents Hospital, Sydney, Australia), Ricardo R. Forastiero, MD (Favaloro University, Buenos Aires, Argentina), Monica Galli, MD (Ospedali Riuniti, Bergamo, Italy), E. Nigel Harris, MD (Morehouse School of Medicine, Atlanta, GA), Thomas Lecompte, PhD (Université de Nancy, France), Steven R. Levine, MD (The Mount Sinai School of Medicine, New York, NY), Roger A. Levy, MD

(Universitario Pedro Ernesto, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil), Gabriella Moroni, MD (Ospedale Maggiore, Milan, Italy), Michelle Petri, MD (John Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD), Silvia Pierangeli, PhD (Morehouse School of Medicine, Atlanta, GA), Jacob H. Rand, PhD (Montefiore Medical Center, New York, NY), Joyce Rauch, MD (McGill University, Montreal, Canada), Veronique Regnault, PhD (INSERM, Nancy, France), Robert A. S. Roubey, MD (The University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, NC), Marielle Sanmarco, MD (Hopital de la Conception, Marseille, France), Yaniv Sherer, MD (Sheba Medical Center, Tel-Hashomer, Israel), Maria Tektonidou, MD (Department of Pathophysiology, University of Athens, Greece), Pierre Youinou, PhD (Brest University Hospital, Brest, France), and Denis Wahl, MD (INSERM, Nancy, France).

This workshop was chaired by Drs. Ronald Derksen, Steven Krilis, Michael Lockshin, and Spiros Miyakis.

### References

- 1 Wilson WA, Gharavi AE, Koike T, Lockshin MD, Branch DW, Piette JC, Brey R, Derksen R, Harris EN, Hughes GR, Triplett DA, Khamashta MA. International consensus statement on preliminary classification criteria for definite antiphospholipid syndrome: report of an international workshop. *Arthritis Rheum* 1999; **42**: 1309–11.
- 2 Lockshin MD, Sammaritano LR, Schwartzman S. Validation of the Sapporo criteria for antiphospholipid syndrome. *Arthritis Rheum* 2000; **43**: 440–3.
- 3 Weber M, Hayem G, Meyer O. The Sapporo criteria for antiphospholipid syndrome: comment on the article by Lockshin et al. *Arthritis Rheum* 2001; **44**: 1965–6.
- 4 Cooper R, Cutler J, Desvigne-Nickens P, Fortmann SP, Friedman L, Havlik R, Hogelin G, Marler J, McGovern P, Morosco G, Mosca L, Pearson T, Stamler J, Stryer D, Thom T. Trends and disparities in coronary heart disease, stroke, and other cardiovascular diseases in the United States: findings of the national conference on cardiovascular disease prevention. *Circulation* 2000; **102**: 3137–47.
- 5 Chobanian AV, Bakris GL, Black HR, Cushman WC, Green LA, Izzo Jr JL, Jones DW, Materson BJ, Oparil S, Wright Jr JT, Roccella EJ. Seventh report of the Joint National Committee on prevention, detection, evaluation, and treatment of high blood pressure. *Hypertension* 2003; **42**: 1206–52.
- 6 Walker ID, Greaves M, Preston FE. Haemostasis and thrombosis task force, British Committee for standards in haematology. Investigation and management of heritable thrombophilia. *Br J Haematol* 2001; **114**: 512–28.
- 7 Genvresse I, Luftner D, Spath-Schwalbe E, Buttgerit F. Prevalence and clinical significance of anticardiolipin and anti-beta2-glycoprotein-I antibodies in patients with non-Hodgkin's lymphoma. *Eur J Haematol* 2002; **68**: 84–90.
- 8 Lee RM, Brown MA, Branch DW, Ward K, Silver RM. Anticardiolipin and anti-beta2-glycoprotein-I antibodies in preeclampsia. *Obstet Gynecol* 2003; **102**: 294–300.
- 9 Branch DW, Porter TF, Rittenhouse L, Caritis S, Sibai B, Hogg B, Lindheimer MD, Klebanoff M, MacPherson C, VanDorsten JP, Landon M, Paul R, Miodovnik M, Meis P, Thurnau G. Antiphospholipid antibodies in women at risk for preeclampsia. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2001; **184**: 825–32; discussion 832–4.
- 10 Sebire NJ, Backos M, El Gaddal S, Goldin RD, Regan L. Placental pathology, antiphospholipid antibodies, and pregnancy outcome in recurrent miscarriage patients. *Obstet Gynecol* 2003; **101**: 258–63.
- 11 American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. *Diagnosis and Management of Preeclampsia and Eclampsia*. ACOG Practice Bulletin



- No 33. Washington, DC: American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists, 2002.
- 12 Branch DW, Andres R, Digre KB, Rote NS, Scott JR. The association of antiphospholipid antibodies with severe preeclampsia. *Obstet Gynecol* 1989; **73**: 541–5.
  - 13 Levine JS, Branch DW, Rauch J. The antiphospholipid syndrome. *N Engl J Med* 2002; **346**: 752–63.
  - 14 Wong RC. Consensus guidelines for anticardiolipin antibody testing. *Thromb Res* 2004; **114**: 559–71.
  - 15 Harris EN, Chan JK, Asherson RA, Aber VR, Gharavi AE, Hughes GR. Thrombosis, recurrent fetal loss, and thrombocytopenia. Predictive value of the anticardiolipin antibody test. *Arch Intern Med* 1986; **146**: 2153–6.
  - 16 Finazzi G, Brancaccio V, Moia M, Ciavarella N, Mazzucconi MG, Schinco PC, Ruggeri M, Pogliani EM, Gamba G, Rossi E, Baudo F, Manotti C, D'Angelo A, Palareti G, De Stefano V, Berrettini M, Barbui T. Natural history and risk factors for thrombosis in 360 patients with antiphospholipid antibodies: a four-year prospective study from the Italian Registry. *Am J Med* 1996; **100**: 530–6.
  - 17 Lynch A, Marlar R, Murphy J, Davila G, Santos M, Rutledge J, Emlen W. Antiphospholipid antibodies in predicting adverse pregnancy outcome. A prospective study. *Ann Intern Med* 1994; **120**: 470–5.
  - 18 Tincani A, Allegrì F, Balestrieri G, Reber G, Sanmarco M, Meroni P, Boffa MC. Minimal requirements for antiphospholipid antibodies ELISAs proposed by the European Forum on antiphospholipid antibodies. *Thromb Res* 2004; **114**: 553–8.
  - 19 Levine SR, Salowich-Palm L, Sawaya KL, Perry M, Spencer HJ, Winkler HJ, Alam Z, Carey JL. IgG anticardiolipin antibody titer >40 GPL and the risk of subsequent thrombo-occlusive events and death. A prospective cohort study. *Stroke* 1997; **28**: 1660–5.
  - 20 Neville C, Rauch J, Kassis J, Chang ER, Joseph L, Le Comte M, Fortin PR. Thromboembolic risk in patients with high titre anticardiolipin and multiple antiphospholipid antibodies. *Thromb Haemost* 2003; **90**: 108–15.
  - 21 Obermoser G, Bitterlich W, Kunz F, Sepp NT. Clinical significance of anticardiolipin and anti-beta2-glycoprotein I antibodies. *Int Arch Allergy Immunol* 2004; **135**: 148–53.
  - 22 Detkova D, Gil-Aguado A, Lavilla P, Cuesta MV, Fontan G, Pascual-Salcedo D. Do antibodies to beta2-glycoprotein I contribute to the better characterization of the antiphospholipid syndrome? *Lupus* 1999; **8**: 430–8.
  - 23 Lee EY, Lee CK, Lee TH, Chung SM, Kim SH, Cho YS, Yoo B, Moon HB. Does the anti-beta2-glycoprotein I antibody provide additional information in patients with thrombosis? *Thromb Res* 2003; **111**: 29–32.
  - 24 Derksen W, Erkan D, Kaplan V, Sammaritano L, Pierangeli SS, Lockshin MD. Real world experience with antiphospholipid antibodies (aPL): How stable are aPL over time? *Arthritis Rheum* 2004; **50**: S67.
  - 25 Topping J, Quenby S, Farquharson R, Malia R, Greaves M. Marked variation in antiphospholipid antibodies during pregnancy: relationship to pregnancy outcome. *Hum Reprod* 1999; **14**: 224–8.
  - 26 Salazar-Paramo M, Jara LJ, Ramos A, Barile L, Machado G, Garcia-De La Torre I. Longitudinal study of antinuclear and anticardiolipin antibodies in pregnant women with systemic lupus erythematosus and antiphospholipid syndrome. *Rheumatol Int* 2002; **22**: 142–7.
  - 27 McClain MT, Arbuckle MR, Heinlen LD, Dennis GJ, Roebuck J, Rubertone MV, Harley JB, James JA. The prevalence, onset, and clinical significance of antiphospholipid antibodies prior to diagnosis of systemic lupus erythematosus. *Arthritis Rheum* 2004; **50**: 1226–32.
  - 28 Male C, Foulon D, Hoogendoorn H, Vegh P, Silverman E, David M, Mitchell L. Predictive value of persistent versus transient antiphospholipid antibody subtypes for the risk of thrombotic events in pediatric patients with systemic lupus erythematosus. *Blood* 2005; **106**: 4152–8.
  - 29 Vianna JL, Khamashta MA, Ordi-Ros J, Font J, Cervera R, Lopez-Soto A, Tolosa C, Franz J, Selva A, Ingelmo M, Vilardell M, Hughes GRV. Comparison of the primary and secondary antiphospholipid syndrome: a European Multicenter Study of 114 patients. *Am J Med* 1994; **96**: 3–9.
  - 30 Salmon JE, Girardi G, Holers VM. Complement activation as a mediator of antiphospholipid antibody induced pregnancy loss and thrombosis. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2002; **61**: ii46–50.
  - 31 Girardi G, Redecha P, Salmon JE. Heparin prevents antiphospholipid antibody-induced fetal loss by inhibiting complement activation. *Nat Med* 2004; **10**: 1222–6.
  - 32 Asherson RA, Cervera R, de Groot PG, Erkan D, Boffa MC, Piette JC, Khamashta MA, Shoenfeld Y. Catastrophic antiphospholipid syndrome: international consensus statement on classification criteria and treatment guidelines. *Lupus* 2003; **12**: 530–4.
  - 33 Cervera R, Piette JC, Font J, Khamashta MA, Shoenfeld Y, Camps MT, Jacobsen S, Lakos G, Tincani A, Kontopoulou-Griva I, Galeazzi M, Meroni PL, Derksen RH, de Groot PG, Gromnica-Ihle E, Baleva M, Mosca M, Bombardieri S, Houssiau F, Gris JC, Quere I, Hachulla E, Vasconcelos C, Roch B, Fernandez-Nebro A, Boffa MC, Hughes GR, Ingelmo M. Antiphospholipid syndrome: clinical and immunologic manifestations and patterns of disease expression in a cohort of 1000 patients. *Arthritis Rheum* 2002; **46**: 1019–27.
  - 34 Turiel M, Muzzupappa S, Gottardi B, Crema C, Sarzi-Puttini P, Rossi E. Evaluation of cardiac abnormalities and embolic sources in primary antiphospholipid syndrome by transesophageal echocardiography. *Lupus* 2000; **9**: 406–12.
  - 35 Galve E, Ordi J, Barquiner J, Evangelista A, Vilardell M, Soler-Soler J. Valvular heart disease in the primary antiphospholipid syndrome. *Ann Intern Med* 1992; **116**: 293–8.
  - 36 Schiller NB, Foster E, Redberg RF. Transesophageal echocardiography in the evaluation of mitral regurgitation. The twenty-four signs of severe mitral regurgitation. *Cardiol Clin* 1993; **11**: 399–408.
  - 37 Cervera R. Coronary and valvular syndromes and antiphospholipid antibodies. *Thromb Res* 2004; **114**: 501–7.
  - 38 Singh JP, Evans JC, Levy D, Larson MG, Freed LA, Fuller DL, Lehman B, Benjamin EJ. Prevalence and clinical determinants of mitral, tricuspid, and aortic regurgitation (the Framingham Heart Study). *Am J Cardiol* 1999; **83**: 897–902.
  - 39 Brey RL, Chapman J, Levine SR, Ruiz-Irastorza G, Derksen RHW, Khamashta M, Shoenfeld Y. Stroke and the antiphospholipid syndrome: consensus meeting Taormina 2002. *Lupus* 2003; **12**: 508–13.
  - 40 Cesbron JY, Amouyel P, Masy E. Anticardiolipin antibodies and physical disability in the elderly. *Ann Intern Med* 1997; **126**: 1003.
  - 41 Chapman J, Abu-Katash M, Inzelberg R, Yust I, Neufeld MY, Vardinon N, Treves TA, Korczyn AD. Prevalence and clinical features of dementia associated with the antiphospholipid syndrome and circulating anticoagulants. *J Neurol Sci* 2002; **203–204**: 81–4.
  - 42 Menon S, Jameson-Shortall E, Newman SP, Hall-Craggs MR, Chinn R, Isenberg DA. A longitudinal study of anticardiolipin antibody levels and cognitive functioning in systemic lupus erythematosus. *Arthritis Rheum* 1999; **42**: 735–41.
  - 43 Mc Laurin EY, Holliday SL, Williams P, Brey RL. Predictors of cognitive dysfunction in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus. *Neurology* 2005; **64**: 297–303.
  - 44 Montalban J, Cervera R, Font J, Ordi J, Vianna J, Haga HJ, Tintore M, Khamashta MA, Hughes GR. Lack of association between anticardiolipin antibodies and migraine in systemic lupus erythematosus. *Neurology* 1992; **42**: 681–2.
  - 45 Tietjen GE, Day M, Norris L, Aurora S, Halvorsen A, Schultz LR, Levine SR. Role of anticardiolipin antibodies in young persons with migraine and transient focal neurologic events: a prospective study. *Neurology* 1998; **50**: 1433–40.
  - 46 Tourbah A, Clapin A, Gout O, Fontaine B, Liblau R, Batteux F, Stievenart JL, Weill B, Lubetzki C, Lyon-Caen O. Systemic autoimmune and multiple sclerosis: a 5-year follow-up study. *Arch Neurol* 1998; **55**: 517–21.
  - 47 Roussel V, Yi F, Jauberteau MO, Couderc C, Lacombe C, Michelet V, Gil R, Couratier P, Vallat JM, Preud'homme JL. Prevalence and

- clinical significance of anti-phospholipid antibodies in multiple sclerosis: a study of 89 patients. *J Autoimmun* 2000; **14**: 259–65.
- 48 Baraczka K, Lakos G, Sipka S. Immunoserological changes in the cerebro-spinal fluid and serum in systemic lupus erythematosus patients with demyelinating syndrome and multiple sclerosis. *Acta Neurol Scand* 2002; **105**: 378–83.
  - 49 Kovacs B, Lafferty TL, Brent LH, DeHoratius RJ. Transverse myelopathy in systemic lupus erythematosus: an analysis of 14 cases and review of the literature. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2000; **59**: 120–4.
  - 50 Liou HH, Wang CR, Chen CJ, Chen RC, Chuang CY, Chiang IP, Tsai MC. Elevated levels of anticardiolipin antibodies and epilepsy in lupus patients. *Lupus* 1996; **5**: 307–12.
  - 51 Sanna G, Bertolaccini ML, Cuadrado MJ, Laing H, Khamashta MA, Mathieu A, Hughes GR. Neuropsychiatric manifestations in systemic lupus erythematosus: prevalence and association with antiphospholipid antibodies. *J Rheumatol* 2003; **30**: 985–92.
  - 52 Verrot D, San-Marco M, Dravet C, Genton P, Disdier P, Bolla G, Harle JR, Reynaud L, Weiller PJ. Prevalence and signification of antinuclear and anticardiolipin antibodies in patients with epilepsy. *Am J Med* 1997; **103**: 33–7.
  - 53 Cimaz R, Romeo A, Scarano A, Avcin T, Viri M, Veggiotti P, Gatti A, Lodi M, Catelli L, Panzeri P, Cecchini G, Meroni PL. Prevalence of anti-cardiolipin, anti-beta2 glycoprotein I, and anti-prothrombin antibodies in young patients with epilepsy. *Epilepsia* 2002; **43**: 52–9.
  - 54 Shoenfeld Y, Lev S, Blatt I, Blank M, Font J, von Landenberg P, Lev N, Zaech J, Cervera R, Piette JC, Bertolaccini ML, Hughes GR, Youinou P, Meroni PL, Pengo V, Alves JD, Tincani A, Szegedi G, Lakos G, Sturfelt G, Jonsen A, Koike T, Sanmarco M, Ruffatti A, Ulcova-Gallova Z, Praprotnik S, Rozman B, Lorber M, Chapman J, van-Breda-Vriezman PJ, Damoiseaux J. Features associated with epilepsy in the antiphospholipid syndrome. *J Rheumatol* 2004; **31**: 1344–8.
  - 55 Diogenes MJ, Diogenes PC, de Moraes Carneiro RM, Neto CC, Duarte FB, Holanda RR. Cutaneous manifestations associated with antiphospholipid antibodies. *Int J Dermatol* 2004; **43**: 632–7.
  - 56 Sebastiani GD, Galeazzi M, Tincani A, Piette JC, Font J, Allegri F, Mathieu A, Smolen J, de Ramon Garrido E, Fernandez-Nebro A, Jedryka-Goral A, Papasteriades C, Morozzi G, Bellisai F, De Pita O, Marcolongo R. Anticardiolipin and anti-beta2GPI antibodies in a large series of European patients with systemic lupus erythematosus. Prevalence and clinical associations. European Concerted Action on the Immunogenetics of SLE. *Scand J Rheumatol* 1999; **28**: 344–51.
  - 57 Ghirardello A, Doria A, Ruffatti A, Rigoli AM, Vesco P, Calligaro A, Gambari PF. Antiphospholipid antibodies (aPL) in systemic lupus erythematosus. Are they specific tools for the diagnosis of aPL syndrome? *Ann Rheum Dis* 1994; **53**: 140–2.
  - 58 Sachse C, Luthke K, Hartung K, Fricke M, Liedvogel B, Kalden JR, Peter HH, Lakomek HJ, Henkel E, Deicher H. Significance of antibodies to cardiolipin in unselected patients with systemic lupus erythematosus: clinical and laboratory associations. The SLE Study Group. *Rheumatol Int* 1995; **15**: 23–9.
  - 59 Naldi L, Locati F, Marchesi L, Cortelazzo S, Finazzi G, Galli M, Brevi A, Cainelli T, Barbui T. Cutaneous manifestations associated with antiphospholipid antibodies in patients with suspected primary antiphospholipid syndrome: a case-control study. *Ann Rheum Dis* 1993; **52**: 219–22.
  - 60 Vlachoyiannopoulos PG, Krilis SA, Hunt JE, Manoussakis MN, Moutsopoulos HM. Patients with anticardiolipin antibodies with and without antiphospholipid syndrome: their clinical features and beta 2-glycoprotein-I plasma levels. *Eur J Clin Invest* 1992; **22**: 482–7.
  - 61 Toubi E, Krause I, Fraser A, Lev S, Stojanovich L, Rovensky J, Blank M, Shoenfeld Y. Livedo reticularis is a marker for predicting multi-system thrombosis in antiphospholipid syndrome. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 2005; **23**: 499–504.
  - 62 Frances C, Papo T, Wechsler B, Laporte JL, Bioussé V, Piette JC. Sneddon syndrome with or without antiphospholipid antibodies. A comparative study in 46 patients. *Medicine (Baltimore)* 1999; **78**: 209–19.
  - 63 Gibson GE, Su WP, Pittelkow MR. Antiphospholipid syndrome and the skin. *J Am Acad Dermatol* 1997; **36**: 970–82.
  - 64 Hodak E, Feuerman H, Molad Y, Monselise Y, David M. Primary anetoderma: a cutaneous sign of antiphospholipid antibodies. *Lupus* 2003; **12**: 564–8.
  - 65 Daugas E, Nochy D, Huong du LT, Duhaut P, Beaufile H, Caudwell V, Bariety J, Piette JC, Hill G. Antiphospholipid syndrome nephropathy in systemic lupus erythematosus. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 2002; **13**: 42–52.
  - 66 Tektonidou MG, Sotsiou F, Nakopoulou L, Vlachoyiannopoulos PG, Moutsopoulos HM. Antiphospholipid syndrome nephropathy in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus and antiphospholipid antibodies: prevalence, clinical associations, and long-term outcome. *Arthritis Rheum* 2004; **50**: 2569–79.
  - 67 Amigo MC, Garcia-Torres R, Robles M, Bochicchio T, Reyes PA. Renal involvement in primary antiphospholipid syndrome. *J Rheumatol* 1992; **19**: 1181–5.
  - 68 Nochy D, Daugas E, Droz D, Beaufile H, Grunfeld JP, Piette JC, Bariety J, Hill G. The intrarenal vascular lesions associated with primary antiphospholipid syndrome. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 1999; **10**: 507–18.
  - 69 Kincaid-Smith P, Fairley KF, Kloss M. Lupus anticoagulant associated with renal thrombotic microangiopathy and pregnancy-related renal failure. *Q J Med* 1988; **68**: 795–815.
  - 70 Moroni G, Ventura D, Riva P, Panzeri P, Quaglini S, Banfi G, Simonini P, Bader R, Meroni PL, Ponticelli C. Antiphospholipid antibodies are associated with an increased risk for chronic renal insufficiency in patients with lupus nephritis. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2004; **43**: 28–36.
  - 71 Stasi R, Stipa E, Masi M, Oliva F, Sciarra A, Perrotti A, Olivieri M, Zaccari G, Gandolfo GM, Galli M, Barbui T, Papa G. Prevalence and clinical significance of elevated antiphospholipid antibodies in patients with idiopathic thrombocytopenic purpura. *Blood* 1994; **84**: 4203–8.
  - 72 Diz-Kucukkaya R, Hacihanefioglu A, Yenerel M, Turgut M, Keskin H, Nalcaci M, Inanc M. Antiphospholipid antibodies and antiphospholipid syndrome in patients presenting with immune thrombocytopenic purpura: a prospective cohort study. *Blood* 2001; **98**: 1760–4.
  - 73 Galli M, Daldossi M, Barbui T. Anti-glycoprotein Ib/IX and IIb/IIIa antibodies in patients with antiphospholipid antibodies. *Thromb Haemost* 1994; **71**: 571–5.
  - 74 Macchi L, Rispoli P, Clofent-Sanchez G, Pellegrin JL, Nurden P, Leng B, Nurden AT. Anti-platelet antibodies in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus and the primary antiphospholipid antibody syndrome: their relationship with the observed thrombocytopenia. *Br J Haematol* 1997; **98**: 336–41.
  - 75 Galli M, Luciani D, Bertolini G, Barbui T. Lupus anticoagulants are stronger risk factors for thrombosis than anticardiolipin antibodies in the antiphospholipid syndrome: a systematic review of the literature. *Blood* 2003; **101**: 1827–32.
  - 76 Galli M, Barbui T. Antiphospholipid antibodies and pregnancy. *Best Pract Res Clin Haematol* 2003; **16**: 211–25.
  - 77 Somers E, Magder LS, Petri M. Antiphospholipid antibodies and incidence of venous thrombosis in a cohort of patients with systemic lupus erythematosus. *J Rheumatol* 2002; **29**: 2531–6.
  - 78 Jennings I, Greaves M, Mackie IJ, Kitchen S, Woods TA, Preston FE. Lupus anticoagulant testing: improvements in performance in a UK NEQAS proficiency testing exercise after dissemination of national guidelines on laboratory methods. *Br J Haematol* 2002; **119**: 364–9.
  - 79 Arnout J, Meijer P, Vermeylen J. Lupus anticoagulant testing in Europe: an analysis of results from the first European Concerted Action on Thrombophilia (ECAT) survey using plasmas spiked with monoclonal antibodies against human beta2-glycoprotein I. *Thromb Haemost* 1999; **81**: 929–34.
  - 80 Gardiner C, MacKie IJ, Malia RG, Jones DW, Winter M, Leeming D, Taberner DA, Machin SJ, Greaves M. The importance of locally

- derived reference ranges and standardized calculation of dilute Russell's viper venom time results in screening for lupus anticoagulant. *Br J Haematol* 2000; **111**: 1230–5.
- 81 Tripodi A, Biasiolo A, Chantarangkul V, Pengo V. Lupus anticoagulant (LA) testing: performance of clinical laboratories assessed by a national survey using lyophilized affinity-purified immunoglobulin with LA activity. *Clin Chem* 2003; **49**: 1608–14.
- 82 Wisloff F, Jacobsen EM, Liestol S. Laboratory diagnosis of the antiphospholipid syndrome. *Thromb Res* 2002; **108**: 263–71.
- 83 Brandt JT, Triplett DA, Alving B, Scharrer I. Criteria for the diagnosis of lupus anticoagulants: an update. On behalf of the Subcommittee on Lupus Anticoagulant/Antiphospholipid Antibody of the Scientific and Standardisation Committee of the ISTH. *Thromb Haemost* 1995; **74**: 1185–90.
- 84 Tripodi A, Chantarangkul V, Clerici M, Mannucci PM. Laboratory diagnosis of lupus anticoagulants for patients on oral anticoagulant treatment. Performance of dilute Russell viper venom test and silica clotting time in comparison with Staclot LA. *Thromb Haemost* 2002; **88**: 583–6.
- 85 Jacobsen EM, Barna-Cler L, Taylor JM, Triplett DA, Wisloff F. The evaluation of clotting times in the laboratory detection of lupus anticoagulants. *Thromb Res* 2001; **104**: 275–82.
- 86 Lupus Anticoagulant Working Party on behalf of the BCSH Haemostasis and Thrombosis Task Force. Guidelines on testing for the lupus anticoagulant. *J Clin Pathol* 1991; **44**: 885–9.
- 87 Greaves M, Cohen H, MacHin SJ, Mackie I. Guidelines on the investigation and management of the antiphospholipid syndrome. *Br J Haematol* 2000; **109**: 704–15.
- 88 Jacobsen EM, Barna-Cler L, Taylor JM, Triplett DA, Wisloff F. The Lupus Ratio test – an interlaboratory study on the detection of Lupus anticoagulants by an APTT-based, integrated, and semi-quantitative test. Fifth International Survey of Lupus Anticoagulants – ISLA 5. *Thromb Haemost* 2000; **83**: 704–8.
- 89 Simmelink MJ, Derksen RH, Arnout J, De Groot PG. A simple method to discriminate between beta2-glycoprotein I- and prothrombin-dependent lupus anticoagulants. *J Thromb Haemost* 2003; **1**: 740–7.
- 90 Pengo V, Biasiolo A, Pegoraro C, Iliceto S. A two-step coagulation test to identify anti-beta2-glycoprotein I lupus anticoagulants. *J Thromb Haemost* 2004; **2**: 702–7.
- 91 de Laat HB, Derksen RH, Urbanus RT, Roest M, de Groot PG. Beta2-glycoprotein I-dependent lupus anticoagulant highly correlates with thrombosis in the antiphospholipid syndrome. *Blood* 2004; **104**: 3598–602.
- 92 Reber G, Arvieux J, Comby E, Degenne D, de Moerloose P, Sanmarco M, Potron G. Multicenter evaluation of nine commercial kits for the quantitation of anticardiolipin antibodies. The Working Group on Methodologies in Haemostasis from the GEHT (Groupe d'Etudes sur l'Hemostase et la Thrombose). *Thromb Haemost* 1995; **73**: 444–52.
- 93 Favaloro EJ, Silvestrini R. Assessing the usefulness of anticardiolipin antibody assays: a cautious approach is suggested by high variation and limited consensus in multilaboratory testing. *Am J Clin Pathol* 2002; **118**: 548–57.
- 94 Harris EN. Special report. The Second International Anti-cardiolipin Standardization Workshop/the Kingston Anti-Phospholipid Antibody Study (KAPS) group. *Am J Clin Pathol* 1990; **94**: 476–84.
- 95 Bahar AM, Kwak JY, Beer AE, Kim JH, Nelson LA, Beaman KD, Gilman-Sachs A. Antibodies to phospholipids and nuclear antigens in non-pregnant women with unexplained spontaneous recurrent abortions. *J Reprod Immunol* 1993; **24**: 213–22.
- 96 Spadaro A, Riccieri V, Terracina S, Rinaldi T, Taccari E, Zoppini A. Class specific rheumatoid factors and antiphospholipid syndrome in systemic lupus erythematosus. *Lupus* 2000; **9**: 56–60.
- 97 Scientific and Standardization Committee. *Lupus AntiCoagulant/Phospholipid-dependent Antibodies*. Annual Report. Boston: International Society for Thrombosis and Haemostasis, 2002.
- 98 Nash MJ, Camilleri RS, Kunka S, Mackie IJ, Machin SJ, Cohen H. The anticardiolipin assay is required for sensitive screening for antiphospholipid antibodies. *J Thromb Haemost* 2004; **2**: 1077–81.
- 99 Ichikawa K, Tsutsumi A, Atsumi T, Matsuura E, Kobayashi S, Hughes GR, Khamashta MA, Koike T. A chimeric antibody with the human gamma1 constant region as a putative standard for assays to detect IgG beta2-glycoprotein I-dependent anticardiolipin and anti-beta2-glycoprotein I antibodies. *Arthritis Rheum* 1999; **42**: 2461–70.
- 100 Tincani A, Allegrì F, Sanmarco M, Cinquini M, Taglietti M, Balestrieri G, Koike T, Ichikawa K, Meroni P, Boffa MC. Anticardiolipin antibody assay: a methodological analysis for a better consensus in routine determinations – a cooperative project of the European Antiphospholipid Forum. *Thromb Haemost* 2001; **86**: 575–83.
- 101 Carmo-Pereira S, Bertolaccini ML, Escudero-Contreras A, Khamashta MA, Hughes GR. Value of IgA anticardiolipin and anti-beta2-glycoprotein I antibody testing in patients with pregnancy morbidity. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2003; **62**: 540–3.
- 102 Selva-O'Callaghan A, Ordi-Ros J, Monegal-Ferran F, Martinez N, Cortes-Hernandez F, Vilardell-Tarres M. IgA anticardiolipin antibodies – relation with other antiphospholipid antibodies and clinical significance. *Thromb Haemost* 1998; **79**: 282–5.
- 103 Bertolaccini ML, Atsumi T, Escudero Contreras A, Khamashta MA, Hughes GR. The value of IgA antiphospholipid testing for diagnosis of antiphospholipid (Hughes) syndrome in systemic lupus erythematosus. *J Rheumatol* 2001; **28**: 2637–43.
- 104 Erkan D, Spicyn B, Peterson M, Derksen W, Sammaritano L, Pierangeli SS, Lockshin MD. Real world experience with aPL-degree of anticardiolipin antibody variation between assays. *Thromb Res* 2004; **114**: 671.
- 105 Tajima C, Suzuki Y, Mizushima Y, Ichikawa Y. Clinical significance of immunoglobulin A antiphospholipid antibodies: possible association with skin manifestations and small vessel vasculitis. *J Rheumatol* 1998; **25**: 1730–6.
- 106 Cucurull E, Gharavi AE, Diri E, Mendez E, Kapoor D, Espinoza LR. IgA anticardiolipin and anti-beta2-glycoprotein I are the most prevalent isotypes in African American patients with systemic lupus erythematosus. *Am J Med Sci* 1999; **318**: 55–60.
- 107 Galli M, Luciani D, Bertolini G, Barbui T. Anti-beta 2-glycoprotein I, antiprothrombin antibodies, and the risk of thrombosis in the antiphospholipid syndrome. *Blood* 2003; **102**: 2717–23.
- 108 Reber G, de Moerloose P. Anti-beta2-glycoprotein I antibodies – when and how should they be measured? *Thromb Res* 2004; **114**: 527–31.
- 109 Faden D, Tincani A, Tanzi P, Spatola L, Lojaco A, Tarantini M, Balestrieri G. Anti-beta 2 glycoprotein I antibodies in a general obstetric population: preliminary results on the prevalence and correlation with pregnancy outcome. Anti-beta2 glycoprotein I antibodies are associated with some obstetrical complications, mainly preeclampsia-eclampsia. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol* 1997; **73**: 37–42.
- 110 Lee RM, Branch DW, Silver RM. Immunoglobulin A anti-beta2-glycoprotein antibodies in women who experience unexplained recurrent spontaneous abortion and unexplained fetal death. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2001; **185**: 748–53.
- 111 Reber G, Schousboe I, Tincani A, Sanmarco M, Kveder T, de Moerloose P, Boffa MC, Arvieux J. Inter-laboratory variability of anti-beta2-glycoprotein I measurement. A collaborative study in the frame of the European Forum on Antiphospholipid Antibodies Standardization Group. *Thromb Haemost* 2002; **88**: 66–73.
- 112 Reber G, Tincani A, Sanmarco M, de Moerloose P, Boffa MC. Proposals for the measurement of anti-beta2-glycoprotein I antibodies. Standardization group of the European Forum on Antiphospholipid Antibodies. *J Thromb Haemost* 2004; **2**: 1860–2.
- 113 Audrain MA, El-Kouri D, Hamidou MA, Mioche L, Ibara A, Langlois ML, Muller JY. Value of autoantibodies to beta(2)-glycoprotein I in the diagnosis of antiphospholipid syndrome. *Rheumatology (Oxford)* 2002; **41**: 550–3.

- 114 Marai I, Gilburd B, Blank M, Shoenfeld Y. Anti-cardiolipin and anti-beta2-glycoprotein I (beta2GP-I) antibody assays as screening for anti-phospholipid syndrome. *Hum Antibodies* 2003; **12**: 57–62.
- 115 Lopez LR, Dier KJ, Lopez D, Merrill JT, Fink CA. Anti-beta 2-glycoprotein I and antiphosphatidylserine antibodies are predictors of arterial thrombosis in patients with antiphospholipid syndrome. *Am J Clin Pathol* 2004; **121**: 142–9.
- 116 Ebeling F, Pettersson T, Muukkonen L, Vahtera E, Rasi V. Beta-2-glycoprotein I antibodies in patients with thrombosis. *Scand J Clin Lab Invest* 2003; **63**: 111–8.
- 117 Fanopoulos D, Teodorescu MR, Varga J, Teodorescu M. High frequency of abnormal levels of IgA anti-beta2-glycoprotein I antibodies in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus: relationship with antiphospholipid syndrome. *J Rheumatol* 1998; **25**: 675–80.
- 118 Diri E, Cucurull E, Gharavi AE, Kapoor D, Mendez EA, Scopelitis E, Wilson WA. Antiphospholipid (Hughes') syndrome in African-Americans: IgA aCL and abeta2 glycoprotein-I is the most frequent isotype. *Lupus* 1999; **8**: 263–8.
- 119 Greco TP, Amos MD, Conti-Kelly AM, Naranjo JD, Ijdo JW. Testing for the antiphospholipid syndrome: importance of IgA anti-beta 2-glycoprotein I. *Lupus* 2000; **9**: 33–41.
- 120 Sanmarco M, Alessi MC, Harle JR, Sapin C, Aillaud MF, Gentile S, Juhan-Vague I, Weiller PJ. Antibodies to phosphatidylethanolamine as the only antiphospholipid antibodies found in patients with unexplained thromboses. *Thromb Haemost* 2001; **85**: 800–5.
- 121 Sugi T, Matsubayashi H, Inomo A, Dan L, Makino T. Antiphosphatidylethanolamine antibodies in recurrent early pregnancy loss and mid-to-late pregnancy loss. *J Obstet Gynaecol Res* 2004; **30**: 326–32.
- 122 Bertolaccini ML, Atsumi T, Khamashta MA, Amengual O, Hughes GR. Autoantibodies to human prothrombin and clinical manifestations in 207 patients with systemic lupus erythematosus. *J Rheumatol* 1998; **25**: 1104–8.
- 123 von Landenberg P, Matthias T, Zaech J, Schultz M, Lorber M, Blank M, Shoenfeld Y. Antiprothrombin antibodies are associated with pregnancy loss in patients with the antiphospholipid syndrome. *Am J Reprod Immunol* 2003; **49**: 51–6.
- 124 Horbach DA, van Oort E, Donders RC, Derksen RH, de Groot PG. Lupus anticoagulant is the strongest risk factor for both venous and arterial thrombosis in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus. Comparison between different assays for the detection of antiphospholipid antibodies. *Thromb Haemost* 1996; **76**: 916–24.
- 125 Guerin J, Smith O, White B, Sweetman G, Feighery C, Jackson J. Antibodies to prothrombin in antiphospholipid syndrome and inflammatory disorders. *Br J Haematol* 1998; **102**: 896–902.
- 126 Forastiero RR, Martinuzzo ME, Cerrato GS, Kordich LC, Carreras LO. Relationship of anti beta2-glycoprotein I and anti prothrombin antibodies to thrombosis and pregnancy loss in patients with antiphospholipid antibodies. *Thromb Haemost* 1997; **78**: 1008–14.
- 127 Munoz-Rodriguez FJ, Reverter JC, Font J, Tassies D, Cervera R, Espinosa G, Carmona F, Balasch J, Ordinas A, Ingelmo M. Prevalence and clinical significance of antiprothrombin antibodies in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus or with primary antiphospholipid syndrome. *Haematologica* 2000; **85**: 632–7.
- 128 Atsumi T, Ieko M, Bertolaccini ML, Ichikawa K, Tsutsumi A, Matsuura E, Koike T. Association of autoantibodies against the phosphatidylserine–prothrombin complex with manifestations of the antiphospholipid syndrome and with the presence of lupus anticoagulant. *Arthritis Rheum* 2000; **43**: 1982–93.
- 129 Harris EN, Pierangeli SS. Revisiting the anticardiolipin test and its standardization. *Lupus* 2002; **11**: 269–75.
- 130 Wong RC, Gillis D, Adelstein S, Baumgart K, Favaloro EJ, Hendle MJ, Homes P, Pollock W, Smith S, Steele RH, Sturgess A, Wilson RJ. Consensus guidelines on anti-cardiolipin antibody testing and reporting. *Pathology* 2004; **36**: 63–8.
- 131 The Criteria Committee of the New York Heart Association. Classification of functional capacity and objective assessment of patients with diseases of the heart. In: *Nomenclature and Criteria for Diagnosis of Diseases of the Heart and Great Vessels*, 9 edn. Boston: Little, Brown & Co, 1994: 253–6.
- 132 Hojnik M, George J, Ziporen L, Shoenfeld Y. Heart valve involvement (Libman-Sacks endocarditis) in the antiphospholipid syndrome. *Circulation* 1996; **93**: 1579–87.
- 133 Hochberg MC. Updating the American College of Rheumatology revised criteria for the classification of systemic lupus erythematosus. *Arthritis Rheum* 1997; **40**: 1725.
- 134 Tan EM, Cohen AS, Fries JF, Masi AT, McShane DJ, Rothfield NF, Schaller JG, Talal N, Winchester RJ. The 1982 revised criteria for the classification of systemic lupus erythematosus. *Arthritis Rheum* 1982; **25**: 1271–7.
- 135 Bizzaro N, Brandalise M. EDTA-dependent pseudothrombocytopenia. Association with antiplatelet and antiphospholipid antibodies. *Am J Clin Pathol* 1995; **103**: 103–7.
- 136 Arnout J. The pathogenesis of the antiphospholipid syndrome: a hypothesis based on parallelisms with heparin-induced thrombocytopenia. *Thromb Haemost* 1996; **75**: 536–41.